Case 2:96-cv-09008-CBM-JG Document 110 Filed 06/29/99 Page 1 2 3 ENTERED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUR ALED. JUN 3 0 1999 5 JUN 29 1990 6 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNI 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 Cooper Hagans, III 12 Plaintiff, Case No. CV 96-9008 CBM 13 ORDER OF DISMISSAL vs. UPON SETTLEMENT OF CASE 14 City of Banning, et al 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 The Court having been advised by the counsel for the parties 19 that the above-entitled action has been settled, 20 IT IS ORDERED that this action be and is hereby dismissed 21 in its entirety without prejudice to the right, upon good cause 22 being shown within 60 days, to reopen the action if settle-23 ment is not consummated. 24 This Court retains full jurisdiction over this action and this Order shall not prejudice any party in this action. 25 DATED: June 29, 1999 Commel & Markel 26 Consuelo B. Marshall 27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE \_ docketed \_ MLD COPY PTYS MLD NOTICE PTYS JS-6 JUN 3 0 1999